

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 00224 151506Z

53

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00

ACDE-00 /026 W

----- 060976

P 151419Z JUL 75

FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2631

S E C R E T SALT TWO GENEVA 0224

EXDIS/SALT

DEPT ALSO PASS DOD

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PARM

SUBJ: COMMENTS ON MEETING JULY 15 (SALT TWO-669)

1. AT TODAY'S MEETING I MADE STATEMENT ON OUR PROPOSAL FOR SILO DIMENSIONS LIMITATION, EXPLAINING IN DETAIL ITS EFFECT AND ENDED UP WITH STATEMENT REITERATING IMPORTANCE WE ATTACH TO OUR DEFINITION OF HEAVY ICBM AND LIMIT THEREON.

2. SEMENOV'S STATEMENT PLAYED TO MAXIMUM THEIR ALLEGED MOVEMENT ON PERIPHERAL ISSUES AND URGED DRAFTING GROUP GET AHEAD ON SUCH ISSUES. HE ALSO MADE ANOTHER EXTENDED STATEMENT ON NON-TRANSFER.

3. IN OUR PRIVATE TALK SEMENOV FOLLOWED UP ON IMPORTANCE OF PROGRESS ON PERIPHERAL ISSUES AND SUGGESTED THAT DRAFTING GROUP BE CHARGED WITH MANY MORE SUBJECTS THAN THEIR PRESENT CHARGE ON SCC TERMS OF REFERENCE AND ARTICLE IV OF JDT. HE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED ARTICLES III, VI AND VII AS ADDITIONAL ARTICLES FOR DRAFTING GROUP TO WORK ON (2,400 AGGREGATE LIMIT, WHEN COUNTING STARTS, AND TEST AND TRAINING LAUNCHERS). I SAID HE SEEMED TO BE MUCH MORE IMPRESSED THAN I WAS WITH MOVEMENT HE SAID THEY HAD MADE ON SUBSTANCE OF PERIPHERAL ISSUES, BUT I NEVER-

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 00224 151506Z

THELESS AGREED THEY SHOULD BE DISCUSSED. CONSIDERING TIME

DRAFTING GROUP SPENT YESTERDAY ON SCC ARTICLE WITHOUT ANY MOVEMENT ON THEIR PART, I FELT THERE WAS NOTHING TO BE GAINED BY OVERLOADING DRAFTING GROUP AGENDA. I SAID THAT I FELT THAT THEIR REFORMULATION OF MIRV DEFINITION IN ARTICLE II DESERVED EXCHANGE AND DISCUSSION AND SAID MY THOUGHT WAS THIS COULD BEST BE DONE BY DEFINITIONS GROUP (ROWNY AND TRUSOC). I RESPONSE HE SAID HE WOULD "HAVE TO CONSULT HIS DELEGATION" ON THIS. IN RESPONSE TO A STATEMENT BY HIM REJECTING ANY CHANGE IN PARA THREE OF ARTICLE XVII (NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION), I SAID THAT WE ATTACHED GREAT IMPORTANCE TO CHANGES WE HAD SUGGESTED AND, CONSIDERING HISTORY OF THIS ARTICLE, FELT THAT DISCUSSION COULD BEST TAKE PLACE IN VERIFICATION GROUP (MAY AND SCHUKIN). HE SAID THAT HE COULD NOT AGREE AND INTENDED TO MAKE STATEMENT IN PLENARY ON SUBJECT. I SAID HE WAS, OF COURSE, FREE TO DO SO AND AFTER HIS STATEMENT WE COULD DISCUSS HOW MATTER WOULD BE FOLLOWED UP. I ACCEPTED HIS SUGGESTION THAT WE HAVE PRIVATE MEETING OF CHIEFS OF DELEGATION THURSDAY FURTHER TO DISCUSS "PROGRAM OF WORK."

4. IN RESPONSE TO HIS STATEMENT AGAIN "CATEGORICALLY REJECTING" OUR POSITION ON BACKFIRE AND REITERATING THEIR POSITION ON CRUISE MISSILES, I SAID THAT I CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD HIS POSITION ON THESE SUBJECTS AND I HOPED THAT WITHOUT LINKING THEM OR PREJUDICE TO OTHER KEY ISSUES HE EQUALLY WELL UNDERSTOOD MY POSITION ON DEFINITION HEAVY ICBM AND CEILING THEREON. IN RESPONSE TO HIS STATEMENT ON NON-TRANSFER AND A REQUEST FOR MY REACTIONS, I SAID THAT I HAD NOTHING TO ADD TO WHAT I HAD PREVIOUSLY SAID THAT SOVIET GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE CONCERNED THAT IF USG ENTERED INTO THIS AGREEMENT THAT IT WOULD ATTEMPT BY "TRICKERY THROUGH THIRD PARTIES" TO CIRCUMVENT IT PROVISIONS. AT SUCH TIME AS CONTENTS OF AGREEMENT WERE CLEAR, I FELT THAT "THIS ISSUE WOULD FALL INTO PLACE."

5. NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR FRIDAY MORNING, JULY 18.

6. THERE WILL BE NO HIGHLIGHTS CABLE THIS MEETING.  
JOHNSON

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** SALT (ARMS CONTROL), ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 15 JUL 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975SALTT00224  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750244-0124  
**From:** SALT TALKS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750755/aaaabwzc.tel  
**Line Count:** 98  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 24 JUN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <24 JUN 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <03 JUL 2003 by GarlanWA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** COMMENTS ON MEETING JULY 15 (SALT TWO-669)  
**TAGS:** PARM  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006